

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KSHAWN WILSON,  Plaintiff,  -against-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DAVID GRIECO (SHIELD NO. 18061), JOHN DOE 1-6 (representing unidentified police officers)  Defendants.	<b>FILED</b> IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT ★ SEP 15 2010 ★ <b>BROOKLYN OFFICE</b>	<b>CV 10-4206</b>  COMPLAINT  <u>Jury Trial Demanded</u>  CARTER, M.J.  BLOCK, J.
--	---	---

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. This is an action for money damages brought pursuant to the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. §1983 for the Defendants' commissions of acts under color of law in violation of the Plaintiff's rights under Fourth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 12 of the New York State Constitution and of common law of the State of New York. The case arises from an August 18, 2010 incident in which members of the New York City Police Department ("NYPD") subjected plaintiff to false arrest, excessive force, and fabricated evidence.

**JURISDICTION & VENUE**

2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and the Fourth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by the aforesaid statutes and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because defendant City of New York is subject to personal jurisdiction in the Eastern District.

**PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York, County of Kings.

5. The City of New York is a municipal corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York.

6. The New York City Police department (the "NYPD") is the department of the City responsible for, among other functions, arresting persons for offenses and maintaining custody over such persons prior to their initial appearance in court. At all times relevant hereto, the NYPD, together with the City, was responsible for the policy, practice, supervision, implementation, and conduct of all NYPD matters and was responsible for the appointment, training, supervision, and conduct of all NYPD personnel. In addition, at all relevant times, defendant NYPD, together with the City, was responsible for enforcing the rules of the NYPD, and for ensuring that NYPD personnel obeyed the Constitutions and laws of the United States and of the State of New York.

7. David Grieco is a member of the NYPD who violated plaintiff's rights as described herein. Grieco is sued in his individual and official capacity.

8. John Does are members of the NYPD who acted in concert with defendant Grieco in violating plaintiff's rights. John Does are sued in their individual and official capacities.

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

9. On August 18, 2010, at approximately 5:20 p.m., in the vicinity of Milford Street and Pitkin Avenue in Brooklyn, New York, Police Officer Grieco and Officer John Does arrested plaintiff without cause and falsely charged him with criminal possession of a weapon in the second and fourth degree and resisting arrest.

10. At all relevant times, plaintiff was not involved in criminal activity and did not possess a weapon. During the course of plaintiff's arrest, one of the officers struck plaintiff over the left eye with the butt of his gun while other officers hit plaintiff about his head and body. As a result of these blows, plaintiff required stitches above his eyes and staples to his skull.

11. Plaintiff, along with three other arrestees, was taken to the Precinct for arrest processing.

12. The following day, plaintiff was taken to Brooklyn Central Booking to await arraignment.

13. While plaintiff was awaiting arraignment, the officers who arrested plaintiff met with a prosecutor employed by the Kings County District Attorney's Office.

14. The officers falsely stated to the prosecutor that plaintiff committed various crimes.

15. The District Attorney's Office, based solely on the officers' false statements, filed charges against plaintiff.

16. As a result of the aforesaid misrepresentation, felony charges were filed against plaintiff.

17. Plaintiff was arraigned on August 20, 2010 and bail was set.

18. On or about August 24, 2010 Officer Grieco and/or Officer Does testified before a Grand Jury in Kings County, that plaintiff had committed various crimes. The Grand Jury rejected the officers' fictitious version of events and dismissed all charges against plaintiff. After six days of incarceration, plaintiff was released from custody.

19. As a result of defendants' actions, plaintiff experienced physical injuries, emotional distress, fear, embarrassment, humiliation, discomfort, pain and suffering, loss of liberty, and damage to reputation.

**FEDERAL LAW CLAIMS AGAINST GRIECO,  
AND JOHN DOES**

20. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in ¶¶ 1-19 as if fully set forth herein.

21. The conduct of Police Officer Grieco and John Does, as described herein, amounted to false arrest, excessive force, assault and battery, and fabricated evidence. This conduct violated plaintiff's rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the Fourth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

**FEDERAL LAW CLAIMS AGAINST THE  
CITY OF NEW YORK**

22. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in ¶¶ 1-21 as if fully set forth herein.

23. The City of New York directly caused the constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff.

24. Upon information and belief, the City of New York, at all relevant times herein, was aware from notices of claim, lawsuits, complaints filed with the NYPD and the Civilian Complaint Review Board, and from the NYPD's own observations, that the individual defendants are unfit, ill-tempered police officers who have the propensity to commit the acts alleged herein.

25. Nevertheless, the City of New York exercised deliberate indifference by failing to take remedial action. The City failed to properly train, retrain, supervise, discipline, and monitor the officers and improperly retained and utilized them. Moreover, the City of New York failed to adequately investigate prior complaints against the officers.

26. The aforesaid conduct by the City of New York violated plaintiff's rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Fourth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands a jury trial and the following relief jointly and severally against the defendants:

- a. Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- b. Punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- c. Costs, interest and attorney's fees;
- d. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper,

including injunctive and declaratory relief.

DATED: September 13, 2010  
New York, New York

Robert Marinelli  
305 Broadway, 14th Floor  
New York, New York 10007  
(212) 822-1427

By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'RM', written over a horizontal line.

ROBERT MARINELLI (RM-4242)